

Please select a subject

2017\_4222\_Honours\_Research\_Project

Please select an item requiring peer assessment

Semester 1 - Milestone report, Conference day

Please select your group

F17A01

This is me:

Samuel L Jackson

Submit

Complete evaluation and click submit when ready. Please wait a few moments after clicking. A confirmation message will appear here and an email will be sent to your account.

**1. Select your course**

**2. Select the assessment item requiring a peer evaluation.** Note there may be more than one evaluation for a subject.

**3. Select your group.**

**4. Select your name from the group.** There is no login authentication used, but a confirmation email will be sent. In other words, if someone tries to submit a false evaluation under your name, you will see an email and can contact the subject coordinator.

Note: Email feature disabled. A record of all attempts is kept on the server and is used to verify if false submissions have been made

**5. Do the evaluation** (see below).

**6. Click here AFTER** you have done the evaluation

Well below average      Below average      Average      Above average      Well above average

Peer evaluation of yourself:

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

Comments

- Make sure to include comments specific to each person (including yourself).
- Comments are invaluable for determining whether your evaluation is reasonable.
- Comments are not divulged to other students. Only the course coordinator.
- There is no automatic method of dispute resolution. If the course-coordinator needs to resolve a matter, they will contact you or the group for a meeting.
- Please give detailed comments, always.
- Even if you are happy with all members, please give comments. If 2 other members have a dispute, your comments can help to corroborate the account of another member in the group.


Expand comment box here.

- The scoring is a relative system. There is no benefit in giving everybody "above average". The overall group average is standardised back to 50 anyway.
- If you are happy with an equal contribution from all members, give 50-50-50-50 to all members.
- Be "fair" to others. There is a system of checks to detect issues of group disharmony (free riders, saboteurs, over-rates and non-contributors). If you are interested, see the [sparkplus manual](#) for detailed explanation of algorithms used.
- Any score reported here should be backed-up by comments you have made.

**ALL GROUP MEMBERS MUST COMPLETE AN EVALUATION. IF YOU ARE SILENT, IT CREATES COMPLICATIONS WHEN TRYING TO FIGURE OUT IF OTHER GROUP MEMBERS ARE TELLING THE TRUTH.**


## Example Peer Evaluation.

### Peer evaluation of yourself:




I definitely worked harder than the rest of the group. I coordinated meetings, I gathered all of the key resources at the start of the project and I came in on the weekend two to make sure we had it all polished for submission.

### Peer evaluation of John Travolta:



John was always late. He was also a bit reckless with some of the edits he made. He mostly did his work, but often he would cut corners which meant that Uma or I had to pick up the slack.

### Peer evaluation of Uma Thurman:



Uma pulled her weight. She attended all meetings and gave excellent input at the ideas stage. She also developed the preliminary model which we later refined.